



# Variable-force modality and inevitability in Tatyshly Udmurt: the case of the *-(o)no* modal form

Daria Mordashova (*mordashova.d@yandex.ru*)

Lomonosov Moscow State University / Institute of Linguistics RAS



INTRO

## What:

modal participle on *-(o)no*, which displays the effects of variable-force modality (see Rullmann et al. 2008, Deal 2011, Newkirk 2022, a.o.)

## Where & when:

Tatyshly Udmurt < Permic < Uralic languages  
Fieldwork in the Tatyshly district of the Republic of Bashkortostan (Russia) in 2022-2023  
More details: <https://tatyshly-udmurt.tilda.ws>

## Methodology:

- elicitation sessions with 8 native speakers of Tatyshly Udmurt
- ✓ translation task from Russian into Udmurt (context types according to Vander Klok 2022)
- ✓ felicity judgment task (to evaluate whether the form is felicitous in the designed contexts triggering possibility or necessity reading)
- ✓ diagnostics suggested for variable-force modals (e.g. downward-entailing contexts (Neg, UQ, etc.), see Mirrazi & Zeijlstra 2022)

DATA

attributive

Interpretation of *-ono* depends on its syntactic position:

predicative

## Affirmative contexts: variable modal force (1) or “generic” (2) meaning

- (1) *mon uža-no zadač'a-jez tod-is'ko-Ø.*  
I work-deb task-acc know-prs-1sg  
'I know which task I **can / must** work on'.
- (2) a. *ul-ono gurt* b. *lob-ono tâloburdo*  
'a village **where people live**' 'a **migratory** bird'

## Negation: possibility/ ?necessity, esp. for more lexicalized items like (3)

- (3) *ton mânâm les't-on-tem už-ez s'ot-i-d.*  
you I.dat do-deb-neg work-acc give-pst-2sg  
'You've given me a task which is **impossible** to do'. [neg>can]  
\*‘You've given me a task which **needn't be done**’.  
[neg>must = can>neg]
- (4) *mon mis'k-on-tem derem-ez vaj-i-Ø.*  
I wash-deb-neg shirt-acc bring-pst-1sg  
'I brought a shirt that **needn't be washed** {it's clean}'.  
[neg>must = can>neg]  
?'I brought a shirt that is **impossible to wash** {the stains cannot be removed}' [neg>can]

## Universal quantifier: ??possibility / necessity

- (5) *nâl škaf-is' van' lâdž'-ono kn'iga-jos-âz bas't-i-z.*  
girl bookcase-el all read-deb book-pl-acc take-pst-3sg  
{The girl must read all the books from the list for her literature class. / The girl has learnt to read and would like to take all the books from the bookcase.} ‘The girl took from the bookcase all the books that she **must / ??can** read’.

Circumstantial necessity reading only:  
*p* is necessary due to the external circumstances

## «No choice»:

- (6) *mon gorod-e mân-ono li-o-Ø.*  
I city-ill go-deb become-fut-1sg  
'I **have to go/\*can go** to the city {I have no choice}'.

## Scientific predictions:

- (7) *šukaje s'iz'âm sâgät kuamân minut-ân met'eorit muzjem-en pumis'k-ono li-o-z.*  
Earth-ins meet-deb become-fut-3sg  
'At 7:30 tomorrow the meteorite **will collapse** with Earth’.

## Negation:

- (8) *ataj šukaje uža-no jevâl.*  
father tomorrow work-deb neg  
'Father **isn't working** tomorrow’ {it is already decided}.  
[must>neg]

## Construction with a dative subject (mostly dynamic necessity):

- (9) *ž'âr vis'-e, mânâm pe'lo pot-ono.*  
head hurt-prs.3sg I.dat outside go\_out-deb  
'I've got a headache, I **need to go out** {to get a breath of fresh air} / \***can go out**'.

semantics

## Yanovich (2016): “collapse variable-force” semantics of Old English *\*motan* (> *must*)

*\*motan(p)* 1) asserts that the situation *p* is an open **possibility**; 2) presupposes that if *p* gets a chance to actualize, it **inevitably** will do so.

→ Due to the presupposition, *\*motan* is only used in a limited set of contexts, where the future is predetermined.

- (10) *and gedo me þæs wyrðne þæt ic þe mote geseon.*  
motan.prs.sbj.1sg see  
'and make me worthy of it that I **mote** see you.’ (Sol:1.55.23)  
(= if God makes it possible for the speaker to see them, then obviously the speaker would use that chance)

## Semantics for *V-ono*:

- in **predicative** contexts, *V-ono* entails an absence of alternatives for *V*: there is a possibility for *V* (assertion), and *V* will inevitably actualize (presupposition);
- in **attributive** contexts, *V-ono* is no longer the only component of the clause assertion, and its interpretation (whether the situation actualizes due to the possibility at the subject's disposal or their necessity to act in a particular way) depends on the contextual environment.

remarks on diachrony & discussion

Serebrennikov (1963): *-ono* is derived from the **verbal noun on -on**, encoding possibility and purpose (*ž'û-on vi* ‘drinking water’) + **attributive -o**, encoding a (usually inalienable) feature of an entity (*vož s'inm-o koč'âš* ‘a green-eyed cat’)

- ❖ inner form (‘permanent possibility/purpose’) motivating the “generic” readings and the inevitability component (‘smth. destined’)?
- ❖ ‘more lexicalized’ negative forms (with a single [neg>can] interpretation) derived from the older *-on* form?
- ❖ habitual-future polysemy as a closely related phenomenon? (see Tatevosov 2005 on development of habituals into futures via modality)

Cf. Shagal (2017:111): “participles expressing future meaning are used to describe modified nouns with regard to possible or necessary situations”, presumably due to “pragmatic inefficiency of characterizing a participant by referring to an event that has not yet taken place”.

ANALYSIS